

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of)	
the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984)	MB Docket No. 05-
311		
as amended by the Cable Television Consumer)	
Protection and Competition Act of 1992)	

COMMENTS OF CITY OF SAN DIMAS, CALIFORNIA

These Comments are filed by the City of San Dimas, California in support of the comments filed by the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors ("NATOA"). Like NATOA, the City of San Dimas believes that local governments can issue an appropriate local franchise for new entrants into the video services field on a timely basis, just as they have for established cable services providers. In support of this belief, we wish to inform the Commission about the facts of video franchising in our community.

Cable Franchising in Our Community

Community Information

San Dimas is a city with a population of 35,000. Our franchised cable provider is Adelphia Communications. Our community has negotiated cable franchises since 1984.

Our Current Franchise

Our current franchise began in October, 1998 and expires in March, 2009. Under the statutory timeline laid out in the Federal Cable Act, the cable operator has a 6-month window beginning 36 months before the expiration of the franchise in which to request a renewal under the Federal Act. As a result, at this time we are not currently negotiating a franchise renewal with the incumbent provider.

Our franchise requires the cable operator to pay a franchise fee to the city in the amount of 5% of the cable operator's revenues. The revenues for franchise fee

purposes are calculated based on the gross revenues of the operator, in accordance with the Federal Cable Act.

The Franchising Process

The cable system serving our community also serves several adjoining communities. In 1998 our community worked together with another community to issue a cable franchise for the Citizens Century cable company. This allowed the company to quickly obtain franchises in these communities so as to be able to serve a large region, while also allowing for individual provisions in specific franchises in order to tailor them to meet local needs.

Under the law, a cable franchise functions as a contract between the local government (operating as the local franchising authority) and the cable operator. Like other contracts, its terms are negotiated. Under the Federal Cable Act it is the statutory obligation of the local government to determine the community's cable-related needs and interests and to ensure that these are addressed in the franchising process – to the extent that is economically feasible. However derived (whether requested by the local government or offered by the cable operator), once the franchise is approved by both parties the provisions in the franchise agreement function as contractual obligations upon both parties.

Competitive Cable Systems

Our community

- has never been approached by a competitive provider to provide service.
- has not denied any provider the opportunity to serve in our community.
- does have mechanisms in place to offer the same or a comparable franchise to a competitor upon request.

Conclusions

The local cable franchising process functions well in the City of San Dimas. As the above information indicates, we are experienced at working with cable providers to both see that the needs of the local community are met and to ensure that the practical business needs of cable providers are taken into account.

Local cable franchising ensures that local cable operators are allowed access to the rights of way in a fair and evenhanded manner, that other users of the rights

of way are not unduly inconvenienced, and that uses of the rights of way, including maintenance and upgrade of facilities, are undertaken in a manner which is in accordance with local requirements. Local cable franchising also ensures that our local community's specific needs are met and that local customers are protected.

Local franchises thus provide a means for local government to appropriately oversee the operations of cable service providers in the public interest, and to ensure compliance with applicable laws. There is no need to create a new Federal bureaucracy in Washington to handle matters of specifically local interest.

Finally, local franchises allow each community, including ours, to have a voice in how local cable systems will be implemented and what features (such as PEG access, institutional networks or local emergency alerts, etc.) will be available to meet local needs. These factors are equally present for new entrants as for existing users.

The City of San Dimas therefore respectfully requests that the Commission do nothing to interfere with local government authority over franchising or to otherwise impair the operation of the local franchising process as set forth under existing Federal law with regard to either existing cable service providers or new entrants.

Respectfully submitted,

City of San Dimas, California

By: **Mayor, Curtis W. Morris**
245 E. Bonita Ave.
San Dimas, CA 91773

cc: NATOA, info@natoa.org
John Norton, John.Norton@fcc.gov
Andrew Long, Andrew.Long@fcc.gov